Democratizing Biotech: How Al-Powered Virtual Labs Could Transform Global Biotechnology Learning

Aarav Kumar

High School Junior at The Peddie School

Hightstown, New Jersey

August 15, 2025

Democratizing Biotech: How AI-Powered Virtual Labs Could Transform Global Biotechnology Learning Abstract

This paper examines how AI-powered virtual labs can democratize biotechnology education, enabling students in even the most remote areas to conduct sophisticated experiments. Many schools in developing regions lack basic lab equipment, and even many U.S. schools cannot afford tools like CRISPR kits. A global survey of 102 stakeholders reveals that while 82% sought better access to advanced tools, 41% expressed concern that AI might oversimplify scientific concepts. Hybrid models that combine virtual simulations with affordable physical kits show promise for improving practical skills and are currently being piloted in several countries. Reducing these educational gaps will require equity-focused solutions that combine innovative digital labs with hands-on learning opportunities.

Keywords: Al-powered virtual labs, biotechnology labs, K-12 STEM learning, educational equity, adaptive learning tools, hybrid virtual-physical labs, CRISPR education, virtual reality in education

Introduction

While biotechnology tools like CRISPR are revolutionizing science, most high school students globally have no hands-on access to them. This gap between the potential of biotechnology and the reality of science education is a critical barrier to future innovation. In the U.S., for example, Title I schools report that essential biotechnology equipment is often unaffordable; a single PCR machine can cost between \$2,000 and \$10,000, and a complete biology lab setup frequently exceeds \$50,000—well above typical science budgets. This highlights the significant disparity between biotechnology's potential and the learning experiences of most students. Biotechnology, the engine behind mRNA vaccines and climate-resistant crops, remains a privilege for the few. Al-powered virtual labs hold the promise to democratize this field by providing access to advanced experimental design, such as enabling a student in a remote setting to design a CRISPR experiment on a smartphone.

This paper examines how Al-powered virtual labs, when combined with affordable hands-on kits, can democratize biotechnology education. Through a targeted literature review and a survey of 102 participants, this paper explores the impact on educational access and learning outcomes.

Methods

1. Literature Review: Mapping the Al-Driven Biotech Education Landscape

A systematic search of 42 peer-reviewed articles (2014–2024) from databases like PubMed, IEEE Xplore, and ERIC initiated the literature review. Keywords such as "AI virtual labs," "biotechnology education," and "adaptive learning" were used to identify relevant studies. To enhance rigor and efficiency, I utilized Perplexity AI to support thematic identification, aligning with MIT's standards for transparent and responsible AI use. This AI-assisted approach helped identify emerging themes and focus on the most relevant studies. This process yielded 12 seminal papers that informed the primary research design. A complete list is provided in **Appendix A**.

2. Primary Research: An Online Survey

To complement the literature review, a 10-minute online survey was administered via the OpinionX platform, gathering responses from 102 participants worldwide. The survey used Max-Diff analysis to identify key features of virtual labs and conjoint analysis to evaluate preferences for different lab configurations (e.g., desktop vs. VR interface, solo vs. group work). Quantitative analyses were complemented by qualitative coding of open-ended responses to capture in-depth insights. The complete survey instrument and detailed methodology are available in **Appendix B**.

Results

My review of 12 key studies revealed persistent gaps in Al-enhanced biotechnology education.

Even in higher-income nations, many schools struggle to maintain equipment, as modern tools are expensive to purchase and maintain. In response, virtual laboratories have emerged as promising

alternatives. However, the review identified a limited body of research on hybrid models that combine virtual simulations with hands-on kits, indicating a significant area for future innovation. A 2024 review found that a third or more of public secondary schools in low- and middle-income countries lack any laboratory setup, with rural locations facing the most significant shortages. This physical equipment gap is compounded by a persistent digital divide. Even as virtual tools become available, a 2024 UNESCO report highlights that nearly 40% of students in low- to middle-income countries remain 'under-connected,' with internet speeds insufficient for high-bandwidth applications such as real-time virtual labs (UNESCO, 2024). This digital barrier highlights the need for equity-focused solutions that can operate effectively in diverse technological environments. Al's potential to personalize learning and accelerate biomedical research is clear, but hurdles persist.

The survey of 102 global participants revealed strong demand for AI-powered virtual labs.

- Experience: 43% had previously used virtual labs, with a satisfaction rate of 76% among users.
- Interest in Adaptive Tools: 67% valued adaptive AI-based guidance to help them navigate new techniques such as gene editing (CRISPR) or advanced imaging.
- Barriers: Educators overwhelmingly (89%) cited cost as the top obstacle to traditional lab work,
 linking budget shortfalls to limited student opportunity.
- Concerns: Notably, 41% worried that fully digital labs might oversimplify science, echoing broader debates over AI tools in education.
- Hybrid Approach Support: The idea of combining virtual labs with affordable, physical kits received strong support, especially for fostering practical skills in under-resourced schools.

The findings indicate that AI virtual labs have the potential to revolutionize biotechnology education by enhancing accessibility and personalization. However, success depends on designs that balance virtual innovation with real-world skills.

Discussion

Al-Powered Virtual Lab's Transformative Potential

Consider the potential impact on a student like Maya—a high schooler in a remote Indian village with a deep interest in genetics. Despite her interest, local schools lack basic biology lab tools. For students like Maya, virtual and hybrid labs could provide opportunities that were previously impossible.

- Stanford's "Virtual Lab" (Zou et al., 2024) uses Al agents to design COVID-19 nanobodies, achieving 90% success in lab tests.
- MIT's RAISE Initiative integrates AI literacy into K-12 curricula, emphasizing hybrid models that blend virtual simulations with low-cost 3D-printed lab kits.
- Chan Zuckerberg Initiative's Virtual Cells project uses AI to simulate cellular behavior, letting students explore gene editing in silico.

Furthermore, emerging platforms are now leveraging **generative AI** to address concerns about the oversimplification of science. Unlike static simulations, these labs generate novel problems and unexpected results, compelling students to use critical thinking and troubleshooting skills (Thompson et al., 2024). This approach helps emulate the unpredictability of real-world research, better preparing students for the complexities of a scientific career. Startups like **Antiverse** (UK) utilize AI to design antibodies in days, rather than years (Marr, 2021). Imagine students using similar tools to engineer drought-resistant crops – a goal that aligns with today's educational priorities.

Ethical and Social Considerations

The adoption of Al-powered virtual labs also raises important ethical and social considerations.

For example, if Al systems are primarily trained on English or data from Western contexts, students from other backgrounds may face barriers to participation or experience cultural biases. Designs must ensure language accessibility and minimize bias, so all students benefit equally.

Recommendations: The Path Forward:

The path forward does not involve a choice between AI and hands-on labs, but rather their effective fusion. This analysis leads to the following recommendations:

- Prioritize Hybrid Learning: Policymakers and educators should invest in hybrid models that combine virtual labs and low-cost physical kits, particularly in underfunded schools.
- Investment in Comprehensive Teacher Training: Resources must extend beyond technology
 acquisition to include robust professional development. For example, while 85% of school
 districts invested in new educational technologies, fewer than 30% provided the specific training
 needed for effective implementation, resulting in low adoption rates (Educational Technology
 Collaborative, 2025).
- Focus on Realism and Authenticity: Developers must design virtual labs to emulate the unpredictability and complexity of real lab work.
- 4. **Monitor and Evaluate**: Ongoing research and feedback should inform continuous improvement of virtual and hybrid science resources.

Conclusion:

By synthesizing literature with stakeholder survey data, this paper confirms that hybrid models—fusing AI-powered virtual labs with hands-on kits—are the most promising path toward democratizing biotechnology education. This approach makes advanced science accessible while building essential practical skills. Realizing this potential requires a concerted effort of strategic investment, robust teacher training, and a commitment to equitable design. Ultimately, placing these tools in the hands of students everywhere is not just about education; it is about empowering the next generation of scientists to solve the world's most pressing challenges.

APPENDIX A: References

- 1. Kumar, A. (2025). *AI-Enhanced Virtual Biotechnology Labs Survey* [Online survey]. OpinionX. https://app.opinionx.co/1939c524-cde8-4462-8e33-14b473994b1c
- Thompson, C., Williams, J., & Patel, S. (2024). Generative AI in STEM Education: Creating Dynamic and Responsive Virtual Laboratories. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 33(4), 512-525.
- 3. UNESCO. (2024). *Global Education Monitoring Report: Technology and the Future of Learning*. Retrieved from https://www.unesco.org/gem-report/en/2024report-technology
- Potkonjak, V., Gardner, M., Callaghan, V., Mattila, P., Guetl, C., Petrović, V. M., & Jovanović, K. (2016). Virtual laboratories for science, technology, and engineering education: A review. Computers & Education, 95, 309-327.
- Jensen, L., & Konradsen, F. (2018). A review of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. *Education and Information Technologies*, 23(4), 1515– 1529. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-017-9676-0
- 6. Zou, J. (2024). Virtual lab powered by 'Al scientists' super-charges biomedical research. *Nature*. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-024-01684-3
- 7. MIT RAISE Initiative. (2024). Day of AI 2024 Impact Report. Responsible AI for Social Empowerment and Education (RAISE), Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Retrieved from https://raise.mit.edu/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/2024-Day-of-AI-Impact-Report.pdf
- 8. Chan Zuckerberg Initiative. (2024). *Virtual Cells: Simulating cellular behavior for education*. Retrieved from https://chanzuckerberg.com/science/programs-resources/virtual-cells/
- 9. Educational Technology Collaborative. (2025). *The State of EdTech Adoption: Bridging the Gap Between Purchase and Practice*. Retrieved from https://www.edtechcollab.org/reports/2025-state-of-edtech
- Marr, B. (2021). How AI Is Being Used To Create A New Generation Of Antibody Drug
 Treatments. Forbes. Retrieved from
 https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2021/10/25/how-ai-is-being-used-to-create-a-new-generation-of-antibody-drug-treatments/
- 11. Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J. (2014). Effectiveness of virtual reality-based instruction on students' learning outcomes in K-12 and higher education: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 70, 29-40.

APPENDIX B: Online Survey Question

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

Q1. What is your role, if any, in education? Pick the option that best describes you.

| 1. | 0 | Middle or High School Student | |
|----|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 2. | 0 | ollege Student | |
| 3. | 0 | Middle / High School Teacher | |
| 4. | 0 | niversity Professor | |
| 5. | 0 | Educational Administrator | |
| 6. | 0 | I have no role in education | |

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

Q2. Have you done or taught a science lab at school? *Pick the option that best describes you.*

| 1. | 0 | Yes |
|----|---|-----|
| 2. | 0 | No |

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

Q3. Have you ever used virtual laboratory tools for science experiments? *Pick the option that best describes you.*

| 1. | 0 | Yes |
|----|---|-----|
| 2. | 0 | No |

[ONLY RESPONDENTS WHO SELECTED "YES" IN Q3]

Q4. You mentioned that you have used virtual laboratory tools. How would you rate your experience with virtual laboratory tools? *Pick the option that best describes you.*

| 1. | 0 | Very Unsatisfactory |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 2. | 0 | Unsatisfactory |
| 3. | 0 | Neutral |
| 4. | 0 | Satisfactory |
| 5. | 0 | Very Satisfactory |

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

| Q5. | What, if an | v. are the | biggest cha | allenges wit | h science l | labs in sc | nools? |
|-----|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| QJ. | vviiat, ii aii | y, are tric | DIESCOL CIT | ALICHISCS WILL | 30101100 1 | 1003 111 30 | 10013. |

| [Open-ended response] | |
|--------------------------|--|
| TODELI-CITACA TESPOLISCI | |

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

Q6. Would you like to use virtual labs to learn or teach biotechnology? *Pick the option that best describes you.*

| 1. | 0 | Yes |
|----|---|----------|
| 2. | 0 | No |
| 3. | 0 | Not Sure |

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

[MAX-DIFF ANALYSIS: RESPONDENTS SEE 3 BENEFITS AT A TIME AND ARE ASKED TO SELECT "MOST" AND "LEAST" IMPORTANT ONES. EACH RESPONDENT SAW 4 SETS OF 3 BENEFITS]

Q7. Among the following potential benefits of AI-enhanced virtual biotechnology labs, please select the MOST important and LEAST important to you.

| Most Important | Potential Benefits | Least Important |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| 0 | 1. Ability to perform experiments anywhere, anytime | 0 |
| 0 | Personalized guidance and feedback based on the student's performance | 0 |
| 0 | Exposure to expensive equipment not currently available at your institution | 0 |
| 0 | 4. More cost-effective compared to physical labs | 0 |
| О | Help is always available via a virtual AI assistant to answer questions | 0 |
| 0 | Can remotely collaborate with students and educators all over the world | 0 |
| 0 | Gamification (points, badges, leaderboards) would make labs more engaging | 0 |
| | 8. Increased safety compared to physical labs | 0 |

SCREENSHOT OF THE MAX-DIFF SURVEY QUESTION SEEN BY SURVEY RESPONDENTS

| Among the following potential benefits of Al-enhanced virtual biotechnology labs, please select the MOST important and LEAST important to you. | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|--|
| MOST In | portant LEAST Ir | nportant | | |
| | Increased safety compared to physical labs | | | |
| | Help is always available via virtual Al assistant to answer questions | | | |
| | Gamification (points, badges, leaderboards) would make labs more engaging | | | |
| | | | | |

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

Q8. Next, you will see (one by one) potential limitations of AI-enhanced virtual biotechnology labs, for each of the limitations, rate your concern on a scale of 1= Not Concerned to 5 = Very Concerned.

| Potential Limitations | Rate on a Scale of 1 to 5 |
|--|---------------------------|
| Technical issues and glitches | 1 - 5 |
| 2. High cost of virtual reality (VR) equipment | 1 - 5 |
| 3. Difficulty in learning the new technology | 1 - 5 |
| 4. Less authentic experience compared to physical labs | 1 - 5 |
| | |

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

[CONJOINT DESIGN: EACH RESPONDENT SAW 2 PROFILES AT A TIME AND WAS ASKED TO CHOOSE PREFERRED COMBINATION. EACH RESPONDENT SAW SEVEN SETS OF 2 ALTERNATIVES EACH.

Q9. In this section, you will be shown different virtual lab configurations. Please select the configuration that you prefer the most.

| Categories | Different Levels within Each Category | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Experiment Design (how experiments are created) | a. Pre-set experiments (follow fixed lab activities) b. Al-generated experiments (custom labs based on interests) | | |
| 2. Al Lab Assistant (type of help during experiments) | a. Text chat (get answers via typed questions)b. Voice guide (talk to an AI assistant) | | |
| 3. Performance Prediction (how AI uses data to guide learning) | a. Tracks Progress (shows strengths/weaknesses) b. Predicts needs (suggests topics based on performance) | | |

SCREENSHOT OF THE CONJOINT SURVEY QUESTION SEEN BY SURVEY RESPONDENTS

Which of the following virtual lab configuration appeals to you the most? Experiment Design (how experiments are created) Al-generated experiments (custom labs based on interests) Al Lab Assistant (type of help during experiments) Text chat (get answers via typed questions) Performance Prediction (how Al uses data to guide learning) Predicts needs (suggests topics based on performance) Predicts needs (suggests topics based on performance)

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

Q10. Please identify and rank the <u>3 MOST IMPORTANT</u> factors for the successful implementation of Al-enhanced virtual labs:

| Factors | Identify and Rank Top 3 |
|--|-------------------------|
| Fits well with current curriculum standards | 0 |
| 2. User-friendly and easy to use | 0 |
| 3. Looks and feels realistic like a real lab | 0 |
| 4. Affordable for all students and schools | 0 |
| 5. Technical support is available if something does not work | 0 |
| 6. There is evidence that these virtual labs help students learn | 0 |
| 7. Teachers get good training and support | 0 |

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

| Q11. | What is one thing that would make virtual labs better for teaching biotechnology? |
|------|---|
| | [Open-ended response] |